

Ref.no.: 03/3-991-14/24

Date: 18 March 2024

Pursuant to Article 70, paragraph (3), Article 33, paragraph (1), item b) and Article 34 of the Law on Public Procurement ("Official Gazette of BiH", no. 39/14 and 59/22), Article 48, paragraph 1, item 7 of the Parent Company's Articles of Association, based on the conducted procedure as for the Competition for development of the Conceptual Architectural Design of the Congress Center in Trebinje, based on the Final Report on the Proposals received under the public invitation for procurement of services for development of the Conceptual Architectural and Urban Design for construction of the Congress Center in Trebinje with a recommendation for further elaboration and implementation of the Competition task number: 03/3-991-12/24 as of 13 March 2024, the Management Board of the Company made the following

DECISION

on selection of awarded participants in the Competition for development of the Conceptual Architectural Design for construction of the Congress Center in Trebinje

Article 1

The Final Report of the Competition Jury for development of the Conceptual Architectural Design of the Congress Center in Trebinje is hereby adopted.

Article 2

The participants in the Competition awarding prizes are announced as follows:

- 1. The first monetary prize in the amount of BAM 100,000.00** in the Competition for development of the Conceptual Architectural and Urban Design for construction of the Congress Center in Trebinje is awarded to the Proposal under the reference number 09 (19022NE), i.e. the author: "Nekoliko" d.o.o. Zagreb.
- 2. The second monetary prize in the amount of BAM 40,000.00** in the Competition for development of the Conceptual Architectural and Urban Design for construction of the Congress Center in Trebinje is awarded to the Proposal under the reference number 36 (30211ЛП), i.e. the authors: Milijana Zeković, Dragana Konstatinović, Slobodan Jović, Maja Momirov, Višnja Žugić, Bojan Stojković, Nebojša Jovin, Nina Čegar, Katarina Dojčev, Luka Radosavljević, Nemanja Jović and Suzana Mitrović.
- 3. The third monetary prize in the amount of BAM 20,000.00** in the Competition for development of the Conceptual Architectural and Urban Design for construction of the Congress Center in Trebinje is awarded to the Proposal under the reference number 35 (51300УШ), i.e. the authors: Ph.D. Ana Zorić, Ph.D. Aleksandra Đorđević, Ph.D. Aleksandra Milovanović, Ph.D. Milos Kostić and Ph.D. Mladen Pešić.

At the proposal of the Competition Jury, the following non-monetary prizes are also awarded:

- 1. The first non-monetary prize – honorable mention** in the Competition for development of the Conceptual Architectural and Urban Design for construction of the Congress Center in Trebinje is awarded to the Proposal under the reference number 02 (01037NB), i.e. the author: Architectural and Urban Planning Design&Research Institute Co., Ltd., Huazhong University of Science and Technology, South Ninth Building 1037 Louyu Blvd, Hongshan District Wuhan City, legal representative: Sibó Wang.
- 2. The second non-monetary prize – honorable mention** in the Competition for development of the Conceptual Architectural and Urban Design for construction of the Congress Center in Trebinje is awarded to the Proposal under the reference number 23 (28028AR), i.e. the authors: Daniel Fraile, Juan Jose Soria, Ricardo J. Catalina, Eduardo Lizarazo, David Moreno, Marcelo Delgado, Calle Antonia Ruiz Soro;

3. **The third non-monetary prize – honorable mention** in the Competition for development of the Conceptual Architectural and Urban Design for construction of the Congress Center in Trebinje is awarded to the Proposal under the reference number 25 (01998LY), i.e. the authors: Yehoshua Gutman, Luis Ortega, Julia Capomagag.

Article 3

The authors awarded monetary prizes shall, within 10 (ten) days from the date of receipt of this Decision on selection of awarded Proposals, submit originals or certified copies of evidence of compliance with the conditions in accordance with the given Statement referred to in Article 45 of the Law on Public Procurement ("Official Gazette of BiH", no. 39/14 and 59/22 hereinafter: the Law).

Article 4

The Department of Public Procurement, the Department of Investments and the Department of Economic and Financial Affairs shall be responsible for execution of this Decision.

Article 5

The Decision shall be published on <https://ers.ba/konkurs/>, at the same time as it is sent to the participants of the Competition.

Article 6

The Decision shall enter into force on the day of its adoption and shall be submitted to all participants in this Competition by e-mail specified in the Competition Proposals, in accordance with the Competition Documentation.

Article 7

The authors of the awarded Competition Proposals shall be paid prizes and awarded honorable mentions according to the finality of this Decision.

Explanation

The public procurement procedure was carried out through the Competition for development of the Conceptual Design and initiated pursuant to the Decision on initiating the procedure for procurement of services for development of the Conceptual Architectural and Urban Design for the development of the Congress Center in Trebinje number: NO-02/1-99-800/23 as of 27 September 2023.

The Procurement Notice was published on the Public Procurement Portal under the number: 385-6-2-141-3-178/23 as of 24 November 2023.

The Committee (Jury) of this public procurement was appointed by the Decision of Acting General Manager number: 03/3-3333-1/23 as of 6 October 2023.

The deadline for submission of the Competition Proposals was 7 March 2024 at 23:59 (GMT+1).

Within the stipulated deadline, defined by the Competition Announcer, there were a total of 47 questions and answers by interested persons, to which the Competition Jury responded in a timely manner.

At the first working meeting, the Jury's President informed the other members that, in accordance with the announcement of the Public Competition for development of the Conceptual Architectural and Urban Design, a total of 38 (thirty-eight) Competition Proposals under the author's codes had received by the specified deadline, digitally at the link <https://ers.ba/konkurs/>, as follows:

"00211DG", "01037NB", "01994TA", "2401SC", "8235ER", "12784AD", "16761TS", "19103DM", "19022NE", "28031PJ", "29105VG", "35870HA", "45680DH", "50632DE", "62339EK", "77778GS", "87429YM", "60923KP", "1625AM", "S32323B", "22024LB", "01040OO", "28028AR", "00030MA", "01998LY", "17998BH", "31148LK", "78624HM", "24525GI", "07014BO", "12468WJ", "90909QQ", "88888AA", "59920MM", "51300YU", "30211LP", "22238DC", "13623AR".

As for the Proposals submitted properly and within the deadline, the Expert Rapporteurs approached the opening and marking of the Competition Proposals, by assigning a reference number. The number of enclosures received was checked for each Proposal.

When recording the received Proposals, it was noted as follows:

- the Proposals under reference numbers: 04 – “2401SC”, 05 – “8235ER”, 19 – “1625AM”, were not properly coded;
- the Proposals under reference numbers: “36048SX”, “71019NS”, “29940MJ”, “52301KK”, “97596LM” were not received in digital form (not uploaded at the link);
- the analogue forms of Proposals under reference numbers: “13623AR” – 38, “77778GS” – 16, “010400O” – 22, “16761TS” – 07, “88888AA” – 33 were not received in due time;
- the analogue form of Proposal under reference number 17 – “87429YM” was received twice, once within the specified deadline and once after the deadline has expired;
- no analogue but only digital form was received for the following Proposals: “19103DM” – 08, “35870HA” – 12, “78624HM” – 28.

The review of received Proposals was carried out by the Expert Rapporteurs in the period from 8 March 2024 to 11 March 2024.

At the first working meeting held on 11 March 2024, the Report was submitted in writing by the Expert Rapporteurs to the Competition Jury and each Proposal was orally explained individually (enclosure: the Report).

At the same meeting, the Competition Jury considered all 38 Competition Proposals that were uploaded at the link in digital version and each individually analyzed in a view of the established criteria and conditions defined by the Competition Invitation and Program and noted the following:

1. the Competition Proposals under reference numbers: 01- “00211DG”, 02 – “01037NB”, 03 – “01944TA”, 06 – “12784AD”, 09 – “19022NE”, 10 – “28031PJ”, 11 – “29105VS”, 13 – “45680DH”, 14 – “50632DE”, 15 – “62339EK”, 17- “87429YM”, 18 – “60923KP”, 20 – “S32323B”, 21 – “22024LB”, 23 – “28028AR”, 24 – “00030MA”, 25 – “01998LY”, 26 – “17998BH”, 27 – “31148ЛК”, 29 – “24525GI”, 30 – “07014BO”, 31 – “12468WJ”, 32 – “90909QQ”, 34 – “59920MM”, 35 – “51300УШ”, 36 – “30211ЛП”, 37- “22238ДС” HAD THE RIGHT TO PARTICIPATE;
2. there were no enclosures in the received Competition Proposals that should be excluded from the evaluation;
3. due to non-compliance with the mandatory conditions of the Competition Invitation, in the further stage of evaluation, the RIGHT TO PARTICIPATE DID NOT HAVE the Competition Proposals under reference numbers: 04 – “2401SC”, 05 – “8235ER”, “19103DM” – 08, “35870HA” – 12, 19 – “1625AM”, 38 – “13623AR”, 16 – “77778GS”, 22 – “010400O”, “78624HM” – 28, 07 – “16761TS”, “36048SX” – not uploaded at the link and the analogue envelope was not received in due time, “71019NS” – not uploaded at the link and the analogue envelope was not submitted in due time, protocol number 34 – 88888AA – reference number 33.

By analyzing and evaluating each individual Proposal according to the established criteria, the Competition Jury agreed to eliminate seventeen Competition Proposals under reference numbers: **03, 10, 11, 13, 15, 17, 18, 20, 21, 24, 26, 27, 29, 30, 31, 32, 37** that did not respond satisfactorily to the required program requirements.

The remaining ten Proposals were taken into consideration in the second round of evaluation by comparative evaluation of the offered solutions' quality.

In further consideration and evaluation, taking into account the established criteria and program requirements and after taking a detailed look at each individual Proposal and comparing the Competition solutions within the defined groups, the Competition Jury decided to eliminate the Proposals that offered lower quality solutions from the further course of the competition, namely Proposals under reference numbers **01, 06, 14** and **34**.

The six Competition Proposals remaining in further competition within the third round, which the Competition Jury selected in the narrowest round were the Competition Proposals under reference numbers: **02, 09, 23, 25, 35, 36**.

Taking into account the purpose of the Competition, the goal of the Competition task, as well as the evaluation criteria, after evaluating and scoring the shortlisted Competition Proposals and ranking the Proposals according to the quality of the offered solutions, the Competition Jury decided to award the Proposals received within the Competition, as follows:

- the Proposal under reference number 09 (19022NE) – the first prize;
- the Proposal under reference number 36 (30211ЛП) – the second prize;
- the Proposal under reference number 35 (51300УШ) – the third prize;
- the Proposal under reference number 02 (01037NB) – the first non-monetary prize (honorable mention);
- the Proposal under reference number 23 (28028AR) – the second non-monetary prize (honorable mention);
- the Proposal under reference number 25 (01998LY) – the third non-monetary prize (honorable mention).

The Competition Jury for public procurement submitted the Final Report on the Proposals received within the Public Invitation for procurement of development of the Conceptual Architectural and Urban Design for construction of the Congress Center in Trebinje with a recommendation for further elaboration and implementation of the Competition task no.: 03/3-991-12/24 as of 13 March 2024.

In the procedure of adopting this Decision, the fact that the Competition Jury properly and completely acted and evaluated the received Proposals/Bids, in accordance with the criteria from the Competition Documentation, was particularly appreciated. In the procedure of assessing the conducted procedure, the Contracting Authority did not find reasons, irregularities or omissions in the work, which could possibly be the basis for not accepting the recommendation of the Competition Jury. Namely, the procedure assessed that the selection of the best Conceptual Design was made in accordance with the Law, by-laws, internal acts and Competition Documentation.

For these reasons, it was decided as in the enacting clause of this Decision.

LEGAL REMEDY

An appeal may be lodged against this Decision, in accordance with the provisions of Article 101 in the manner specified in Article 99 of the Law on Public Procurement.

ON BEHALF OF
THE MANAGEMENT BOARD
Acting General Manager

.....
Luka Petrović, M.Sc.M.E.

Enclosure:

- The Report of the Expert Rapporteurs as of 11 March 2024
- The Minutes of the First Working Meeting ref.no.: 03/3-991-10/24 as of 11 March 2024,
- The Minutes of the Second Working Meeting ref.no.: 03/3-991-11/24 as of 12 March 2024,
- The Final Report of the Competition Jury ref.no.: 03/3-991-12/24 as of 13 March 2024;

Deliver to:

- All Competition Participants,
- The Competition Jury,
- The Department of Public Procurement,
- a/a.

- courtesy translation into English -